

SEMISTABLE POINTS WITH RESPECT TO REAL FORMS

PETER HEINZNER AND HENRIK STÖTZEL

ABSTRACT. We consider actions of real Lie subgroups G of complex reductive Lie groups on Kählerian spaces. Our main result is the openness of the set of semistable points with respect to a momentum map and the action of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article we consider a compact Lie group U and a Lie subgroup G of the complexified group $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. The corresponding Lie algebras will be denoted by German letters. We will assume that G is compatible with the Cartan decomposition $U^{\mathbb{C}} = U \exp i\mathfrak{u}$ of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. This means that we have a diffeomorphism $K \times \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow G$, $(k, \xi) \mapsto k \exp \xi$, where $K := G \cap U$ and $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{u}$.

Let Z be a complex space endowed with a holomorphic action of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$ and a U -invariant Kählerian structure ω . For simplicity we will assume that ω is smooth when restricted to any smooth submanifold of Z . We also assume that there is a continuous U -equivariant momentum map $\mu: Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^*$ which is smooth on every smooth submanifold of Z . In particular, this means that the restriction of ω to a smooth $U^{\mathbb{C}}$ -stable submanifold M of Z is given by a Kählerian form in the usual sense. Hence M is a Riemannian manifold. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}$ we have the function $\mu^\xi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mu^\xi(z) := \mu(z)(\xi)$, with gradient $\text{grad}(\mu^\xi)(z) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \exp(it\xi) \cdot z = (i\xi)_Z(z) = J\xi_Z(z)$. Here J denotes the complex structure on the tangent bundle TM and ξ_Z is the vector field on Z corresponding to the action of the one parameter group $t \mapsto \exp(t\xi)$.

For a subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{u}$ we have the map $\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}: Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^*$ which is given by composing μ with the adjoint of the inclusion map $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}$. We call $\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}$ the restriction of μ to $\mathfrak{m}$. For a subset Q of Z we set $\mathcal{S}_G(Q) := \{z \in Z; \overline{G \cdot z} \cap Q \neq \emptyset\}$. Here $\overline{G \cdot z}$ denotes the closure of $G \cdot z$ in Z . For $\beta \in i\mathfrak{p}^*$ let $\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) := \mu_{i\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\beta)$ and since $\beta = 0$ plays a prominent role we set $\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}}(0)$.

The set of semi-stable points of Z with respect to $\mu_{i\mathfrak{p}}$ and the G -action is by definition the set $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}})$. In [HS05] it is shown that $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}})$ is open when U is abelian. Here we give a proof of a more general result.

Theorem 1.1. *For every $\beta \in i\mathfrak{p}^*$ the set $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}}(\beta))$ is open in Z .*

The authors would like to thank Christian Miebach and Patrick Schützdeller for several interesting discussions on the material of this paper.

The authors are partially supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich SFB/TR12 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

2. OUTLINE OF THE PROOF

First we consider the case $\beta = 0$. It is sufficient to show that every point $x \in \mathcal{M}_{ip}$ has an open neighborhood $V(x)$ of x in Z such that $V(x) \subset \mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{ip})$, since in this case $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{ip}) = G \cdot V$ is open in Z where V denotes the union of the sets $V(x)$.

Let $\|\cdot\|: \mathfrak{u}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote a U -invariant norm function and let $\eta_{ip}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_{ip}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_{ip}(z)\|^2$. Using convexity properties of the momentum map with respect to special commutative subgroups (see section 4), we will show the existence of G -stable neighborhoods Ω of points in $\mathcal{M}_{ip}$ such that for some $r > 0$ the sets $V := \Omega \cap \eta_{ip}^{-1}([0, r))$ are relatively compact in Z .

For simplicity assume now that Z is smooth. The flow ψ of the gradient vector field $\text{grad}(\eta_{ip})$ is tangent to the G -orbits. Moreover for $z \in V$ the integral curve $t \mapsto \psi_z(t)$ exists for all negative t and is contained in V . If $t_n \rightarrow -\infty$, then possibly after going over to a subsequence a limit point $z_0 = \lim \psi_z(t_n)$ exists. We have $z_0 \in \overline{V \cap G \cdot z}$ and z_0 is a critical point of η_{ip} . It then remains to show that V can be chosen such that $\mathcal{M}_{ip} \cap \overline{V}$ equals the set of critical point of η_{ip} which are contained in $\overline{V}$.

The case where β is arbitrary is done by shifting the momentum map. The usual procedure is to endow the coadjoint orbit $O := U \cdot \beta$ with the structure of a Kählerian manifold such that $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$ defines a momentum map on O . Since O is compact the U -action extends to a holomorphic $U^\mathbb{C}$ -action $(g, \alpha) \mapsto g \cdot \alpha$, i.e., $O = U \cdot \beta = U^\mathbb{C} \cdot \beta$ holds. Using the shifting procedure means to replace Z by $Z \times O$ on which $(z, \alpha) \mapsto \mu(z) - \alpha$ defines a momentum map $\hat{\mu}$ and study the set of semistable points with respect to $\hat{\mu}$ as well as its relation to $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{ip}(\beta))$. In fact in the case where $G = U^\mathbb{C}$ this gives rather directly Theorem 1.1. In the general case one has to take into account the basic observation that $G \cdot \beta = K \cdot \beta$ holds for $\beta \in ip^*$.

3. THE STRUCTURE OF COMPATIBLE SUBGROUPS

As before let U be a compact Lie group, $U^\mathbb{C}$ its complexification and let $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$ be a compatible subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$.

If $G = K \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ is not closed in $U^\mathbb{C}$, then its topological closure $\overline{G} = \overline{K} \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ is a closed Lie-subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ which is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $U^\mathbb{C}$. Moreover since $\overline{Gz} = \overline{Gz}$ we have $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{ip}(\beta)) = \mathcal{S}_{\overline{G}}(\mathcal{M}_{ip}(\beta))$ for $\beta \in ip^*$. This implies that for the proof of Theorem 1.1 we may assume that G is a compatible closed subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$. The main advantage of G being closed is that K is a compact subgroup of U and that for a maximal subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ we have $G = KAK$ for $A := \exp \mathfrak{a}$.

The remaining part of this section is not used until section 7 where we need precise information about the coadjoint action of U . The main input there is the fact that the coadjoint orbit of U through a point $\beta \in ip^*$ is in a natural way a complex $U^\mathbb{C}$ -homogeneous manifold O such that the orbit $G \cdot \beta$ equals $K \cdot \beta$.

To begin with recall that the group $U^\mathbb{C}$ has a unique structure of an affine algebraic group. Its algebra of regular functions consists of the U -finite holomorphic functions on $U^\mathbb{C}$. If G is any subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$, then its algebraic (respectively analytic) Zariski closure is the smallest closed algebraic (respectively analytic) subvariety of $U^\mathbb{C}$ which contains G . The Zariski closure is automatically an algebraic (respectively analytic) subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$. Moreover in the compatible case the notion of algebraic and analytic Zariski closure of G coincide. The simplest way to see this is to use the fact that any closed complex

subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ which is stable with respect to the Cartan involution $\Theta: U^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow U^\mathbb{C}$, $\Theta(u \exp i\xi) := u \exp(-i\xi)$ where $u \in U$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}$ is the complexification of its Θ -fixed points. A slightly more precise statement is the following simple

Lemma 3.1. *Let $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$ be a connected compatible Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ and let U_0 be the smallest closed subgroup of U which contains $\exp(\mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p})$. Then the Zariski closure of G in $U^\mathbb{C}$ equals $(U_0)^\mathbb{C} = U_0 \exp(i\mathfrak{u}_0)$. In particular G is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $(U_0)^\mathbb{C}$. $\square$*

Using Lemma 3.1 we may assume in the proof of Theorem 1.1 that $U^\mathbb{C}$ coincides with the Zariski closure of G . The proof of the following statement is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a connected Zariski-dense Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ and N a connected complex Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ which contains G . Then every connected normal complex subgroup of N is a normal subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$. In particular N is normalized by $U^\mathbb{C}$. $\square$*

Remark. If U is semisimple, then $N = U^\mathbb{C}$.

An application of the lemma shows that the connected complex Lie subgroup G^c of $U^\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^c := \mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g}$ is a normal complex Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ and also that the connected complex Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{g}$ is normalized by $U^\mathbb{C}$. Consequently $\mathfrak{g}^c$ and $\mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{g}$ are ideals in $\mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$.

On the level of Lie algebras the structure of G is described by the following

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a connected compatible Zariski-dense Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$. Then there exist ideals $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_1$ in $\mathfrak{u}$, such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1^\mathbb{C}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{u}_0^\mathbb{C}$ is a compatible real form of $\mathfrak{u}_0^\mathbb{C}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ with $\mathfrak{k}_0 \subset \mathfrak{u}_0$, $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset i\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{p}_0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{u}_1 := \mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$, the equality $\mathfrak{u}_1^\mathbb{C} = (\mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{p}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{g}$ holds. Since G is assumed to be connected and Zariski-dense in $U^\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{u}_1^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$. Consequently $\mathfrak{u}_1$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{u}$.

We fix a U -invariant positive inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{u}$. Note that $\langle [\xi, \eta_1], \eta_2 \rangle = -\langle \eta_1, [\xi, \eta_2] \rangle$ holds for every $\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{u}$.

Let $\mathfrak{k}_0 := \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{u}_1^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{p}_0 := \mathfrak{p} \cap i\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp$. Defining $\mathfrak{u}_0 := \mathfrak{k}_0 + i\mathfrak{p}_0$ the sum is direct by construction and $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{u}$ since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is assumed to be U -invariant, $\mathfrak{u}_1$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^c$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$. Setting $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$, it remains to show that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1^\mathbb{C}$. For this decompose $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ as $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_{\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp} + \xi_{i\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{u}_1^\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1^\perp \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp$. Then $\xi_{\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp} + \xi_{i\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp} \in \mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_1^\perp) = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$. $\square$

If U is semisimple and G is connected, then Proposition 3.3 extends to the group level. Of course there is also a version for a general compact group U but this is not needed here.

Proposition 3.4. *Let U be semisimple and let G be a connected compatible Zariski-dense Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$. Then there exist compact connected Lie subgroups U_0 and U_1 of U which centralize each other, such that*

- (1) $U^\mathbb{C} = U_0^\mathbb{C} \cdot U_1^\mathbb{C}$ and the intersection $U_0^\mathbb{C} \cap U_1^\mathbb{C}$ is a finite subgroup of the center of U ,
- (2) $G = G_0 \cdot U_1^\mathbb{C}$, where G_0 is a real form of $U_0^\mathbb{C}$ which is compatible with the Cartan decomposition, i.e. $G_0 = K_0 \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0)$ where K_0 is a Lie subgroup of U_0 and $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is a K_0 -stable subspace of $i\mathfrak{u}_0$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{u}$ is semisimple, it decomposes uniquely into a sum of simple ideals. Therefore $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_1$ as defined in the proof of Proposition 3.3 are semisimple Lie algebras and consequently the corresponding subgroups U_0 and U_1 of U are compact. They centralize each other since $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_1$ are ideals. The first statement follows since G is Zariski-dense in $U^\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_0 \cap \mathfrak{u}_1 = \{0\}$.

Defining $K_0 := U_0 \cap K$, Proposition 3.3 implies the second statement. $\square$

4. COMPATIBLE COMMUTATIVE SUBGROUPS

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Since $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ is commutative. Let $A := \exp \mathfrak{a}$ denote the corresponding commutative Lie subgroup of G . Note that A is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $U^\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mu_{ia}: Z \rightarrow i\mathfrak{a}^*$ be the restriction of μ to $i\mathfrak{a}$. The set $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$ where $\mathcal{M}_{ia} := \mu_{ia}^{-1}(0)$ is open in Z ([HS05]).

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$ let ξ_Z denote the vector field corresponding to the one parameter group $(t, z) \mapsto \exp t\xi \cdot z$, i.e. $\xi_Z(z) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \exp(t\xi) \cdot z$. The Lie algebra of the isotropy group $A_z = \{a \in A; a \cdot z = z\}$ of A at $z \in Z$ is given by $\mathfrak{a}_z := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{a}; \xi_Z(z) = 0\}$. Let $i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ = \{\beta \in i\mathfrak{a}^*; \beta|_{i\mathfrak{a}_z} = 0\}$ denote the annihilator of $i\mathfrak{a}_z$ in $i\mathfrak{a}^*$.

Proposition 4.1. *The image $\mu_{ia}(A \cdot z)$ of $A \cdot z$ in $i\mathfrak{a}^*$ is an open convex subset of the affine subspace $\mu_{ia}(z) + i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ$ of $i\mathfrak{a}^*$ and the map $\mu_{ia}: A \cdot z \rightarrow \mu_{ia}(A \cdot z)$ is a diffeomorphism.*

Proof. By definition of μ_{ia} we have

$$d\mu_{ia}(v)(\xi) = \omega(\xi_Z(y), v)$$

for all $y \in A \cdot z$, $v \in T_y(A \cdot z)$ and $\xi \in i\mathfrak{a}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}_y = \mathfrak{a}_z$ holds for all $y \in Az$, we conclude $\mu_{ia}(A \cdot z) \subset \mu_{ia}(z) + i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ$. From the identification $T_y(A \cdot z) \cong \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}_y$ and $\ker d(\mu_{ia}|_{A \cdot z}) = 0$ it follows that μ_{ia} maps $A \cdot z$ locally diffeomorphically onto an open subset of $\mu_{ia}(z) + i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ$. Injectivity is proved in [HS05], Lemma 5.4.

In order to see that $\mu_{ia}(A \cdot z)$ is convex one may proceed as in [HH96]. In fact if $\exp i\mathfrak{a} \subset U$ would be compact, then the result would directly follow from the convexity result in [HH96]. For the convenience of the reader we recall the argument in our situation.

Let $T := \exp i\mathfrak{a}$ and denote the Lie algebra of T by $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $T^\mathbb{C} = \exp(\mathfrak{t} + i\mathfrak{t})$ denote the complexification of the compact torus T . For β_0 and β_1 in $\mu_{ia}(A \cdot z)$ we choose $x_j \in A \cdot z$ such that $\mu_{ia}(x_j) = \beta_j$ holds. Let $\hat{\beta}_j := \mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(x_j)$. The set $X := T^\mathbb{C} \cdot z$ is an injectively immersed complex submanifold of Z if we endow it with the complex structure induced by the natural identification of $T^\mathbb{C}/T_z^\mathbb{C}$ with $T^\mathbb{C} \cdot z$. The Kählerian structure on Z restricts in the sense of pulling back to X and $\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}|_X$ is a momentum map on X .

Now consider the shifted momentum map $\hat{\mu}_j := \mu_{\mathfrak{t}}|_X - \hat{\beta}_j$. From [HS05], Theorem 10.3, we get the existence of a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function ρ_j on X , such that $\hat{\mu}_j$ is associated to ρ_j , i.e. $\hat{\mu}_j(x)(\xi) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \rho_j(\exp(it\xi) \cdot x)$ for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $x \in X$. Let $s \in [0, 1]$. We have to show, that $(1-s)\beta_0 + s\beta_1 \in \mu_{ia}(Az)$. Consider

$$\hat{\mu}_s := (1-s)\hat{\mu}_0 + s\hat{\mu}_1 = \mu_{\mathfrak{t}}|_X - ((1-s)\hat{\beta}_0 + s\hat{\beta}_1).$$

This defines a momentum map on X and it is associated to the strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\rho_s := (1-s)\rho_0 + s\rho_1$.

Since z is contained in the zero-set of the shifted momentum map $\mu_{\mathfrak{t}}|_X - \mu_{\mathfrak{t}}(z)$, the isotropy $(T^\mathbb{C})_z$ is compatible with the Cartan decomposition ([HS05], Lemma 5.5), i.e.,

equals $(T_z)^\mathbb{C} = T_z \exp(it_z)$. The orbit $A \cdot z \cong A/A_z$ is closed in $T^\mathbb{C}/T_z^\mathbb{C} \cong T^\mathbb{C} \cdot z$. This implies that $\rho_s|_{A \cdot z}$ is an exhaustion and therefore attains its minimal value at some point $z_0 \in A \cdot z$. Then $\hat{\mu}_s(z_0) = 0$ which implies that $(1-s)\beta_0 + s\beta_1 \in \mu_{ia}(A \cdot z)$. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}} := \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}\|^2$ where $\|\cdot\|: \mathfrak{u}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes a U -invariant norm function. We identify $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^*$ by the corresponding inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{u}$. Since $d\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}(z)(v) = \langle d\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}(z)(v), \mu_{\mathfrak{m}}(z) \rangle = \omega((\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}(z))_Z(z), v)$, we have $\text{grad}(\eta_{\mathfrak{m}})(z) = (i\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}(z))_Z(z)$ with respect to the Riemannian structure induced by ω on smooth $U^\mathbb{C}$ -stable submanifolds of Z . Note that $z \mapsto (i\mu_{\mathfrak{m}}(z))_Z(z)$ is a globally defined vector field on Z . By abuse of notation, we denote it by $\text{grad}(\eta_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and call it the gradient vector field of $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. The set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}} := \{z \in Z; \text{grad}(\eta_{\mathfrak{m}})(z) = 0\}$ is called the set of critical points of $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

For $\mathfrak{m} = i\mathfrak{a}$ we have

Lemma 4.2. $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{ia} = \mathcal{M}_{ia}$.

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{ia} \subset \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{ia}$ is trivial.

Let $z \in \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{ia}$. From Proposition 4.1 we know that $\mu_{ia}(Az) \subset \mu_{ia}(z) + i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ$. Since $z \in \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$, we have $0 \in \mu_{ia}(\overline{Az}) \subset \mu_{ia}(z) + i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ$, so $\mu_{ia}(Az) \subset i\mathfrak{a}_z^\circ$. Then $0 = \text{grad}(\eta_{ia})(z) = (i\mu_{ia}(z))_Z(z)$, i.e. $i\mu_{ia}(z) \in \mathfrak{a}_z^*$ implies $\mu_{ia}(z) = 0$. $\square$

For $r > 0$ let $\Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) := \eta_{ia}^{-1}([0, r))$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let C be a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}_{ia}$ and let W be an open neighborhood of C in Z . Then there exist an A -stable open set Ω in Z and an $r > 0$ such that $C \subset \Omega \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \subset W$ holds.*

Proof. In the proof we use the results in [HS05] freely.

Since A is commutative $\mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$ is open in Z and we may assume that $Z = \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$ holds. In particular the topological Hilbert quotient $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z//A$ exists. Since the maximal compact subgroup of A is trivial the map $\pi \circ \iota: \mathcal{M}_{ia} \rightarrow Z//A$ where $\iota: \mathcal{M}_{ia} \rightarrow Z$ is the inclusion is a homeomorphism. If we identify $Z//A$ with $\mathcal{M}_{ia}$ the quotient map $\pi: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{ia}$ is given by $z \mapsto \overline{A \cdot z} \cap \mathcal{M}_{ia}$ and the π -fiber through $q \in \mathcal{M}_{ia}$ is given by $\pi^{-1}(q) = \mathcal{S}_A(q) = \{z \in Z; q \in \overline{A \cdot z}\}$.

We may assume that W is relatively compact. Let $V_{\mathcal{M}_{ia}}$ be a relatively compact open neighborhood of C in $W \cap \mathcal{M}_{ia}$ and let r^* be the minimal value of η_{ia} restricted to the compact set $\partial W \cap \mathcal{S}_A(\overline{V_{\mathcal{M}_{ia}}})$. Note that $r^* > 0$. For $r < r^*$ let $V_r := W \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \cap \mathcal{S}_A(V_{\mathcal{M}_{ia}})$. We claim that $V_r = A \cdot V_r \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia})$ holds. For this we have to show that $A \cdot z \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \subset V_r$ for every $z \in V_r$.

Proposition 4.1 implies that $A \cdot z \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia})$ is connected. By definition of r , it does not intersect the boundary of W . Since $z \in W$, we conclude $A \cdot z \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \subset W$ and therefore $A \cdot z \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \subset V_r$.

Setting $\Omega := A \cdot V_r$, the proposition follows. $\square$

5. NEIGHBORHOODS OF THE ZERO FIBER

Let $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$ be a closed compatible Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ a maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$. We have $G = KAK$ or equivalently $\mathfrak{p} = K \cdot \mathfrak{a}$ with respect to the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{p}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_{ip}$ is by definition the set of zeros of the vector field $z \mapsto (i\mu_{ip}(z))_Z(z)$ where ip and ip^* are identified by a U -invariant positive inner product on $\mathfrak{u}$. A result analogous to Lemma 4.2 holds for G . More precisely, for $X := \bigcap_{k \in K} k \cdot \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$ we have

Lemma 5.1. $X \cap \mathcal{C}_{ip} = \mathcal{M}_{ip}$.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{M}_{ip} \subset X \cap \mathcal{C}_{ip}$ since $\mathcal{M}_{ip}$ is K -invariant.

Let $z \in X \cap \mathcal{C}_{ip}$. There exists a $k \in K$ with $\mu_{ip}(k \cdot z) = k \cdot \mu_{ip}(z) \in \mathfrak{ia}^*$. Then $z' := k \cdot z \in X \subset \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$ since X is K -invariant and $z' \in \mathcal{C}_{ia}$ since $\mu_{ip}(z') = \mu_{ia}(z')$ and $z' \in \mathcal{C}_{ip}$. Lemma 4.2 implies $z' \in \mathcal{M}_{ia}$. We conclude $z' \in X \cap \mathcal{M}_{ip}$. Invariance of $\mathcal{M}_{ip}$ with respect to K implies $z \in X \cap \mathcal{M}_{ip}$. $\square$

For $r > 0$ let $\Delta_r(\eta_{ip}) := \eta_{ip}^{-1}([0, r))$. Now we give a generalization of Proposition 4.3 to the non-commutative case.

Proposition 5.2. *Let C be a compact K -stable subset of $\mathcal{M}_{ip}$ and let W be an open neighborhood of C in Z . Then there exist a G -stable open set Ω in Z and an $r > 0$ such that $C \subset \Omega \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip}) \subset W$ holds.*

Proof. We may assume that W is K -stable. From Proposition 4.3 we get the existence of an A -invariant open neighborhood Ω_A of C and an $r > 0$, such that $\Omega_A \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \subset W$ holds. Let V be a K -stable stable neighborhood of C in Ω_A . Then $A \cdot V \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia}) \subset W$. Let $\Omega := G \cdot V$. Since $\eta_{ia}(z) \leq \eta_{ip}(z)$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip}) &= K \cdot A \cdot V \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip}) = K \cdot (A \cdot V \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip})) \\ &\subset K \cdot (A \cdot V \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ia})) \subset K \cdot W = W. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark. The proof uses $\Delta_r(\eta_{ip}) \subset \Delta_r(\eta_{ia})$. More precisely it can be shown that $\Delta_r(\eta_{ip}) = \bigcap_{k \in K} k \cdot \Delta_r(\eta_{ia})$ holds.

6. THE SET OF SEMISTABLE POINTS IS OPEN

Recall that $\text{grad}(\eta_{ip})$ denotes the vector field $z \mapsto (i\mu_{ip}(z))_Z(z)$. We have $\text{grad}(\eta_{ip})(z) \in T_z(G \cdot z)$, i.e., it is tangent to the G -orbits. Since the momentum map is assumed to be smooth on submanifolds of Z , the gradient vector field is smooth on $U^\mathbb{C}$ -stable submanifolds. Let $\psi_z: I_z \rightarrow Z$, $t \mapsto \psi_z(t)$ denote integral curve of $\text{grad}(\eta_{ip})$ with $\psi_z(0) = z$. Here we assume the interval I_z to be maximal.

Theorem 6.1. *The set $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{ip})$ is open in Z .*

Proof. We may assume that G is closed in $U^\mathbb{C}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_{ip}$. It is sufficient to show that an open neighborhood V of x is contained in $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{ip})$. By Proposition 5.2 there exists a G -stable open neighborhood Ω of x , such that for some $r > 0$ the set $\overline{\Omega \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip})}$ is compact and contained in $X = \bigcap_{k \in K} k \cdot \mathcal{S}_A(\mathcal{M}_{ia})$. Let $V := \Omega \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip})$. By definition of ψ we have $\psi_z(t) \in G \cdot z \cap \Delta_r(\eta_{ip})$ for all $z \in \overline{\Delta_r(\eta_{ip})}$ and all $t \leq 0$ in the domain of definition of ψ_z . Let $s_z \in [-\infty, 0)$ be minimal with $(s_z, 0] \subset I_z$. Note that $s_z = -\infty$ for $z \in \overline{V}$ if Z is smooth.

Let $Z = R_0 \cup R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_k$ be the stratification of Z into smooth submanifolds, i.e. R_0 is the set of smooth points in Z and R_{l+1} is the set of smooth points in $Z \setminus (R_0 \cup \dots \cup R_l)$.

Let $z_0 \in V$ and let $t_n \leq 0$ be a decreasing sequence which converges to s_{z_0} . After possibly replacing (t_n) by a subsequence, the limit $z_1 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{z_0}(t_n)$ exists. By induction we get a sequence (z_n) . If $z_n \in R_j$ and $s_{z_n} \neq -\infty$, then $z_{n+1} \in R_{j+l}$ for an $l > 0$ since R_j is smooth and $U^\mathbb{C}$ -invariant. Therefore there exists an n_0 with $s_{z_{n_0}} = -\infty$. To simplify notation, we assume $n_0 = 0$.

Let $t_0 < 0$. Then $\psi_{z_1}(t_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{z_0}(t_n + t_0)$ and consequently

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{ip}}(\psi_{z_1}(t_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{\mathfrak{ip}}(\psi_{z_0}(t_n + t_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{\mathfrak{ip}}(\psi_{z_0}(t_n)) = \eta_{\mathfrak{ip}}(z_1).$$

Hence $\psi_{z_1}(t_0) = z_1$ and $z_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{ip}}$.

Lemma 5.1 implies that $z_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{ip}} \cap \overline{V} \cap \overline{G \cdot z_0} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{ip}} \cap X \cap \overline{G \cdot z_0} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{ip}} \cap \overline{G \cdot z_0}$. This shows $V \subset \mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{ip}})$. □

7. SHIFTING

In this section we consider the case of a general $\mu_{\mathfrak{ip}}$ -fiber $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{ip}}(\beta)$. The first step is to shift the momentum map relative to the coadjoint orbit $U \cdot \beta$. The relevant properties are summarized in

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{u}^*$ and let $O := U \cdot \beta$ be the coadjoint orbit of β . Moreover let $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}$ denote the dual vector of β with respect to a U -invariant negative definite inner product on $\mathfrak{u}$. Let $Q := \{g \in U^\mathbb{C}; \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \exp(it\xi)g \exp(-it\xi) \text{ exists in } U^\mathbb{C}\}$. Then the following holds*

- (1) *Q is a parabolic subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{z}^\mathbb{C}(\xi) \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ where $\mathfrak{z}^\mathbb{C}(\xi)$ denotes the centralizer of ξ in $\mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$ denotes the sum of eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(-i\xi)$ with negative eigenvalues.*
- (2) *The U -equivariant map $\iota: O \rightarrow U^\mathbb{C}/Q$, $u \cdot \beta \mapsto uQ$ is a real analytic isomorphism.*
- (3) *The U -invariant symplectic structure $\omega_O(\zeta_O(\alpha), \eta_O(\alpha)) := -\alpha([\zeta, \eta])$ for $\alpha \in O$ and $\zeta, \eta \in \mathfrak{u}$ is a Kählerian structure with respect to the complex structure on O induced by ι . The map $O \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^*$, $\eta \mapsto -\eta$ defines a momentum map on O . □*

Lemma 7.1 says that $O = U \cdot \beta$ is a complex manifold such that $U^\mathbb{C}$ acts holomorphically and transitively, i.e., $U \cdot \beta = U^\mathbb{C} \cdot \beta$ holds. The following observation is crucial for our application of the shifting procedure.

Proposition 7.2. *$G \cdot \beta = K \cdot \beta$ for $\beta \in \mathfrak{ip}^*$.*

Proof. As a first step we replace $U^\mathbb{C}$ by its image $\text{Ad}(U)^\mathbb{C}$ under the adjoint representation. The image $\text{Ad}(G)$ is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $\text{Ad}(U)^\mathbb{C}$. Then U is semisimple.

Assuming in addition that G is Zariski-dense in $U^\mathbb{C}$ (Lemma 3.1) and connected, we may apply Proposition 3.4. It yields that U is the product of two compact subgroups U_0 and U_1 which centralize each other, such that $G = G_0 \cdot U_1^\mathbb{C}$. We decompose $\beta \in \mathfrak{ip}^*$ with respect to this decomposition, i.e. $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1$ where $\beta_0 \in \mathfrak{ip}_0^* = i(\mathfrak{p} \cap i\mathfrak{u}_0)^*$ and $\beta_1 \in i\mathfrak{u}_1^*$. The coadjoint orbit $O = U \cdot \beta$ can be biholomorphically and U -equivariantly identified with $U_0 \cdot \beta_0 \times U_1 \cdot \beta_1$. We have $U_1^\mathbb{C} \cdot \beta_1 = U_1 \cdot \beta_1$ by construction, so we may assume $U = U_0$.

Let $\sigma: \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$ be the anti-holomorphic involution of Lie algebras defined by $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\sigma|i_{\mathfrak{g}} = -\text{id}_{i_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Since $\beta \in \mathfrak{ip}^*$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q}$ of Q is stable with respect to σ .

Therefore it defines an antiholomorphic involution on the tangent space $T_\beta(U \cdot \beta) \cong \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{q}$. For a subspace $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$ define $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \beta := \{\xi_O(\beta); \xi \in \mathfrak{m}\}$. We have $T_\beta(U \cdot \beta) = \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C} \cdot \beta = \mathfrak{k} \cdot \beta + i\mathfrak{p} \cdot \beta = i\mathfrak{k} \cdot \beta + \mathfrak{p} \cdot \beta$. Since $\sigma|_{(\mathfrak{g} \cdot \beta)} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g} \cdot \beta}$ and $\sigma|(i\mathfrak{g} \cdot \beta) = -\text{id}_{i\mathfrak{g} \cdot \beta}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{k} \cdot \beta = \mathfrak{p} \cdot \beta$. Therefore $T_\beta(G \cdot \beta) = T_\beta(K \cdot \beta)$ and we conclude $G \cdot \beta = K \cdot \beta$. $\square$

Now we can prove the main result of this paper. For the convenience of the reader we repeat the statement.

Theorem 1.1. *For every $\beta \in i\mathfrak{p}^*$ the set $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}}(\beta))$ is open in Z .*

Proof. The Kählerian structures on Z and O induce a Kählerian structure on the product $Z \times O$. It follows that $\hat{\mu}: Z \times O \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^*$, $\hat{\mu}(z, \alpha) = \mu(z) - \alpha$ is a U -equivariant momentum map on $Z \times O$ with respect to this product Kählerian structure and the diagonal action of U . Moreover $\mathcal{S}_G(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{i\mathfrak{p}})$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{i\mathfrak{p}} := \hat{\mu}_{i\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(0)$ is open in $Z \times O$ and therefore its intersection $Z(\beta) \subset Z \times O$ with $Z \times G \cdot \beta$ is open as well. The projection $p: Z \times G \cdot \beta \rightarrow Z$ is an open map. Since $G \cdot \beta$ is a K -orbit, p maps the open G -stable set $Z(\beta)$ onto $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{i\mathfrak{p}}(\beta))$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [HH96] P. Heinzner, A. Huckleberry *Kählerian potentials and convexity properties of the moment map*, Invent. math. **126** (1996), 65–84.
- [HS05] P. Heinzner, G. Schwarz *Cartan decomposition of the moment map*, math.CV/0502515

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 150, D - 44780 BOCHUM

E-mail address: heinzner@cplx.rub.de

E-mail address: henrik@cplx.rub.de